DAVID G. SPIVAK (SBN 179684) 1 david@spivaklaw.com THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 3 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Telephone: 4 Facsimile: (213) 634-2485 5 WALTER HAINES (SBN 71075) 6 whaines@uelglaw.com UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP 7 5500 Bolsa Ave., Suite 201 Huntington Beach, CA 92649 8 Telephone (562) 256-1047 9 Facsimile (562) 256-1006 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff, DAVID JAIMES, and all others similarly situated 11 12 13 14 15 DAVID JAIMES, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, and as an 16 "aggrieved employee" on behalf of other 17

FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

APR 1 4 2021

BY

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

"aggrieved employees" under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

BAKER'S BURGERS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No. CIV DS 1933423

## [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

April 14, 2021 Hearing Date:

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Hearing

S26, Hon. David S. Cohn

Dept.:



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com

1

[Proposed] Final Order and Judgment



Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax Spivakl aw com This matter came on for hearing on April 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 26 of the above-captioned court on Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Final Approval of a Class Action and PAGA Settlement pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, as set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release (the Settlement) filed herewith. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement.

In accordance with the Court's prior Preliminary Approval Order and January 13, 2021 Order, Class Members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to request exclusion, comment upon or object to it or to any of its terms. Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the motions for preliminary and final approval of the Settlement, and having conducted a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing ("Fairness Hearing"), the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, DECREES AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. The Court has and retains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members. Pursuant to this Court's Preliminary Approval Order of September 23, 2020, the Notice Packets were sent in English and Spanish to each Class Member by First Class U.S. mail with a pre-printed, postage paid return envelope. The Notice informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive their proportional share of the Settlement, their right to request exclusion, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and be heard regarding final approval of the Settlement. Pursuant to the Court's January 13, 2021 Order, each Class Member were sent a postcard in English and Spanish informing them of the increase in Settlement Administrative Costs, extended deadlines and the continuation of the date of the Final Approval Hearing to April 14, 2021. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No member of the Class presented written objections to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process, stated an intention to appear, or actually appeared at the final approval hearing.
  - 2. For purposes of this Order and Judgment, the "Class Period" shall mean December

10 11

9

12 13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27



Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax Spiyakl aw com 22, 2014 through September 23, 2020 and the Class is defined as all current and former non-exempt employees Defendant employed in California at any time during the Class Period. For purposes of this Order and Judgment, the "PAGA Period" shall mean that December 22, 2017 through September 23, 2020.

- 3. In accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769 and the requirements of due process, all Class Members have been given proper and adequate Notice of the Settlement. Based upon the evidence submitted by the parties, the Settlement Agreement, the arguments of counsel, and all the files, records and proceedings in this case, the Court finds that the Notice Packet and Notice methodology implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order and January 13, 2021 Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the litigation, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing; (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and any other applicable law.
- 4. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate, to those it affects, and resulted from vigorously contested litigation, substantial discovery, motion practice, and extensive good-faith arm's length negotiations between the parties, and is in the public interest considering the following factors:
  - (a) the strength of the Plaintiff's case;
  - (b) the risk, expense, complexity and likely duration of further litigation;
  - (c) the risk of maintaining class action status throughout the trial;
  - (d) the amount offered in settlement;
  - (e) the extent of discovery completed, and the stage of the proceedings;
  - (f) the experience and views of counsel; and
  - (g) the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement.

Accordingly the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions



Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com of the Settlement, including the release of claims contained therein, should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. As of the Effective Date, all Settlement Class Members are hereby deemed to have waived and released all Released Claims and are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims against the Released Parties as fully set forth in the Settlement. As of the Effective Date, all Class Members are hereby deemed to have waived and released all PAGA Released Claims and are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the PAGA Released Claims against the Released Parties as fully set forth in the Settlement. No objections were received by the Parties or the Court through the date of this Final Order and Judgment. The Court finds that 8 Class Members (Chelsea Dian Hogan, Magdalena Margarita Laurel, Debra Leal, Zoe Lemus, Barbara Luttrell, Tyler Vernon Marshall, Kaneeyah C. Ross and Jessica Grace Sencion) timely submitted a valid request for exclusion from the non-PAGA portion of the Settlement as determined by the Settlement Administrator and therefore they are not Settlement Class Members.

- 5. The Court finds and determines that (a) the Individual Settlement Awards to be paid to Settlement Class Members and (b) the PAGA Payment as civil penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, as amended, California Labor Code sections 2699 et seq., as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to, and orders the payment of, those amounts be made to the Settlement Class Members, Class Members, and to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 6. The Court further grants final approval to and orders that the following payments be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement:
  - a. \$533,333.00 Fee Award and \$10,215.59 Expense Award to Class Counsel;
- b. \$20,000 as an Enhancement Award payable to Plaintiff, David Jaimes, for his services as Class Representative; and
- c. \$56,000.00 in Administration Costs payable to CPT Group, Inc. for its services as the Settlement Administrator.
  - 7. The Settlement shall proceed as directed in the implementation schedule in the

10 11

9

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

2223

2425

26

27

SPIVAK LAW<sup>28</sup>

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpiyakLaw com Preliminary Approval Orders consistent with the Settlement, and pursuant to Paragraph 35 of the Settlement. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h).

- 8. On December 29, 2020, Defendant deposited the first fifty percent (50%) of the Gross Settlement Fund with the Settlement Administrator pursuant to the December 1, 2020 Order to Amend the Preliminary Approval Order to Establish a Qualified Settlement Fund. Defendant shall deposit the remainder of the Gross Settlement Fund and Employer taxes to effectuate the terms of this Settlement within ten days following the Effective Date or within five business days after the Settlement Administrator provides Defendant's counsel with routing instructions to wire funds, whichever occurs later..
- 9. Nothing in this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or hereunder, including the requirement that Defendant deposit funds for distribution by the Settlement Administrator to Settlement Class Members as set forth above in Paragraph 8.
- 10. The Court hereby enters final Judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, Order Granting Preliminary Approval and as amended, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
  - 11. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.
- 12. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Furthermore, the Settlement is not a concession by Defendant and shall not be used as an admission of any fault, omission, or wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Order and Final Judgment, Settlement, any document referred to herein, any exhibit to any document referred to herein, any action taken to carry out the Settlement, nor any negotiations or proceedings related to the

D

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

2223

2425

26 27

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213) 725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax Spiyakl aw com Settlement are to be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession with regard to, the denials or defenses of Defendant, and shall not be offered in evidence in any proceeding against the Parties hereto in any Court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Order and Final Judgment. This Order and Final Judgment, the Settlement and exhibits thereto, and any other papers and records on file in the Action may be filed in this Court or in any other litigation as evidence of the settlement by Defendant to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims.

- 14. This document shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.769(h).
- 15. Per California Rules of Court, Rule 3.771(b), CPT Group, Inc. is directed to post the final judgment, once entered, on its website.
- 16. This Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and expressly directs entry by the Clerk of the Court of Final Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Date: 4/14/2/

Honorable David Cohn

Judge of the San Bernardino Superior Court